

Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal West Zonal Bench At Ahmedabad

REGIONAL BENCH-COURT NO. 3

Service Tax Appeal No. 10609 of 2014- DB

(Arising out of OIA-DMN-EXCUS-000-APP-193-13-14 dated 24/10/2013 passed by Commissioner of Central Excise, CUSTOMS (Adjudication)-DAMAN)

Khushi Enterprise

.....Appellant

A/2201, Third Phase, Gidc, Vapi, Gujarat

VERSUS

C.C.E. & S.T.-Daman

3rd Floor...Adarsh Dham Building, Vapi-Daman Road, Vapi Opp.Vapi Town Police Station, Vapi, Gujarat-396191Respondent

APPEARANCE:

Shri, D. K. Trivedi, Advocate for the Appellant Shri, R.K. Agarwal, Superintendent (AR) for the Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MEMBER (JUDICIAL), MR. RAMESH NAIR HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL), MR. C L MAHAR

Final Order No. <u>A/11403/2023</u>

DATE OF HEARING: 01.06.2023 DATE OF DECISION: 28.06.2023

RAMESH NAIR

In the present appeal the following issues are involved:

- (i) In the fact that the service tax payment invoice issued by the service provider in favor of CHA bearing the name of the appellant and subsequently invoiced by CHA to the appellant are valid document for refund of service tax against the export of goods in terms of notification No 41/2012-ST dated 29-06-2012.
- Whether, pre-shipment inspection is an input services and liable for service tax paid thereon is liable to be refunded under notification No. 41/2012 ST

2. Shri, Devashish K. Trivedi, Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant at the outset submits that as regard the first issue that whether the invoices are proper or otherwise, though the invoice was issued by the service provider to the CHA, but the same invoice also bears the name of the appellant which clearly co-relates the service, Service provider and service recipient. There is no dispute about the payment of service tax on the receipt of service by the appellant which is further reinforced on the basis of the invoice raised by the CHA to the appellant showing the same value as shown in the service provider's invoice, therefore, proper co-relation is established, accordingly even though the invoice was not directly in the name of the appellant, refund cannot be rejected only on this count.

2.1 As regard the issue that whether pre-shipment inspection is an eligible service for refund, it is the submission that there is no dispute that the pre-shipment inspection is conducted only in respect of the export goods of the appellant therefore use of said service for export of goods is not under dispute accordingly the appellant is entitled for the refund on the pre-shipment inspection service in support of his above submission.

2.3 He placed reliance on the following Judgments:

- Nupur Viniyog Pvt. LTD. Vs. Commissioner of CGST & CX, Kolkata South Commissionerate 2022(56) G.S.T.L 17 (Tri.- Kolkata)
- 20 Microns LTD. VS. Commissioner Of C.EX. & S.T. Vadodara 2017 (47) S.T.R. 257(Tri.- Ahmd.)
- Commr. Of C.Ex. Mysore Vs. Chamundi Textiles (Silk Mills) LTD. 2010 (20) S.T.R. 219 (Tri.- Bang.)
- Commissioner of Sales Tax U.P. Vs. Auriaya Chamber of Commerce, Allahabad 1986 (25) ELT 867 (SC)
- Meera Vs. CESTAT, Chennai 2010 (254) ELT 256 (Mad.)
- Parekh Plast (India) Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Commissioner of Central Excise, Vapi 2012 (25) STR 46 (Tri.-Ahmd.)

3. On the other hand Shri, R.K. Agarwal Learned Superintendent (AR) appearing on behalf of the revenue reiterates the findings of the impugned order.

4. On careful consideration of the submission made by the both sides and perusal of record. We find that the lower authorities have rejected the refund claim under Notification No. 41/2012 on the ground that the invoice of service is not in the name of the appellant whereas the same is in the name of CHA. we find that the CHA was appointed by the appellant as their Custom House agent who acts on behalf of the appellant, therefore as authorized person of the appellant, when CHA arranges the service provider for and on behalf of the appellant it cannot be said that the service is not received by the appellant. It is obvious that when the CHA deals with the other service providers whose services are used exclusively for the exporter, in the present case appellant, the invoices of such service providers at times are issued in favor of the CHA subsequently the CHA though bear the service charges but collect the reimbursement from the appellant.

4.1 In such case the situation is as good as the service provider has provided the services to the appellant which is not under dispute as all the services were used in relation to export of goods made by the appellant. The sample invoice of service provider issued in the name of CHA is scanned below:

4 P a	n g e
----------------	-------

. Plot No. 10, Somathane	Village, Kone-Savla Rasayani Roa	d, Panvel, Dist.Raigad	
	Export Invoice		
	* .		28/11/2012 10:11 ints Are in INR.)
Involce No :EXP/001390/12-13 Pald By :FOURSTAR ENTERPRIS Payer Address :196/198 SAMUEL ST. Ground Rent Till Date :27/11/2012 00:00 Amount :10674.000 (Rupees :	ES MUMBAI 400009 Rupees Ten Thousand Six Hundre	Invoice Date :27/11/2012	Status :
ds Realisation of Following Container/	Cargo Related Export Charges	Amount	Service Ta:
Export Handling & Transportation Charges		9500.00	1174.2
	-		
Gross Amount		9500.000	
SERVICE TAX@12%	100 E	1140.000	
EDUCATION CESS@2%		11.400	
SECONDARY HIGHER EDUCATION CESS@	1%		
TDS	· · · · ·	0.000	
·		10674.200	
Net Amount		10674.000	
Rounded Amount		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A Code / Name : <u>331/FOURSTAR</u> ENTERPRISES Insolicator Code / <u>115250/FOURSTAR</u>	Shipper _{khushi} enterprises Name :		
Name :ENTERPRISES			
Shipping Line : NYK LINE (I) LTD.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	dies Prive		
Branch Service Tax AABCC1756DSD019	For In St Logistic Pt Ltd	*	
No : No COLTES	Nampa Ravi Macibal		
PAN No :AABCC1756D	Signature	.0	
Ten <u>& Conditions i</u> 1)Payment should be made by DD/Pay Order 2)If this invoice is not paid within the payment 3)If payment is made by cheque, then the payment with its bank at Mumbal or at the place of its rights/objections whatsoever against place of Customer shall, within 3 (three) days of beil Customer shall, within 3 (three) days of beils 4)Any discrepancy found in this document so 5)All other terms and conditions are subject by the ferred in our tariff as well as appli	s business/ issuance of the cheque s business/ issuance of the cheque of deposit of the cheque(s). If cheq ng notified of such dishonor, remit hould be notified to Indev Logistics hould be notified to Indev Logistics	ue is dishonored for any reason wi	nalsuever, ere
COAL OTHER LETTER THE THE THE AND A COOL	······································		
to time referred in our tariff as well as opport			
4)Any discrepancy tod conditions are subject 5)All other terms and conditions are subject to time referred in our tariff as well as appli- to time referred in our tariff as well as appli-			
to time referred in our tariff as well as opport	1.1		
b)All define referred in our tariff as well as opported in our tariff as well as opported in the second sec	1.1		
to time referred in our tariff as well as opport	KE: 160		

4.2 The corresponding CHA invoice against the above invoice is reproduce

scanned below:

CLEARING, FOR		LIN AND FLOOD	DOOMN	0 750/6 M	ASHD D	UNDER.
C.L.E. M. M. C.	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	AN, 2ND FLOOR	ROOM N	10.25/20,M	ASJID D	UNDER,
96/198, SAMUEL STREET, NEW BI UMBAI-400 009, PH: 9122-40194333, F	HAGWAN BHUV AX-9122-23444651	 Web site : www. 	w.tourstar	III E Man	mo@rou	rstar.m
LL NO. : 6540			DATE :	03/12/2012		
KHUSHI ENTERPRISES			Job No. :	EXP/06734/2	012-2013	
AZ201, 3RD PHASE,			Ref. No. :	5464/2012-2	2013	
G.ID.C. VAPI/GUJARAT						
lo. of Pkgs : 434 BGS		: POLYESTER WA	ASTE (PCY)	93 		
essel Name : HANJIN KINGSTON VOY.		: J.N.P.T.			nanghai 3/11/2012	
Gross Wt. : 26080.00 KGS Cont Nos : TCLU8250759/40HC	S/B/B/E No.	. : 2739439		FOB : R		7.82
2.2	Dt.:	Inv. No. : KE/EX	F/160/12-13		5/11/2012	1
AYYBIDL NO				Receipted /	Non-R	ecelpted
-sr. Partic	ulars			imbursemer Expenses	Reimb	/ ursement enses
						011000 :
1 S/B PASSING				90	1 -	500.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYICS PVT LTD REC	EIPT			90. 10,674.	00	500.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	RGES				00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYICS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHAI 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0%	RGES		 ,		00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	RGES ISS @1%				00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	RGES ISS @1%	112-13			00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges :ss @1% KE 160	12-13			00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	RGES ISS @1%	112-13 nstu			00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges :ss @1% KE 160 DOY W	asti		10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges :ss @1% KE 160 DOY W	112-13 nstu ul 261	015 kg	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	RGES \$\$\$ @1% KE 160 NOY W	nsti ul 261	11	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	RGES \$\$\$ @1% KE 160 NOY W	asti	11	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges (KE 160 (TOY 22)	asti ut - 260 sud - 26	11	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges (KE 160 (TOY 22)	nsti ul 261	11	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges (KE 160 (TOY 22)	asti ut - 260 sud - 26	11	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges (KE 160 (TOY 22)	asti ut - 260 sud - 26	11	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges (KE 160 (TOY 22)	asti ut - 260 sud - 26	11	10,674.	00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYCS PVT .LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXA-INATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 FDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges (KE 160 (TOY 22)	nsti ud - 260 sud - 26 sud - 26	C6: X	10,874.	000	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00 7.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYICS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 % 9 SECONDRY & HIGHER EDU. CE	RGES SS @1% KE 160 NOY W 11 (13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	nstu ud - 260 sud - 26 sud - 26	11	10,674.	64.00	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14.00
2 CMC CHARGES 3 INDEV LOGISYLCS PVT LTD REC 4 EXPORT DOCUMENTATION CHA 5 CUSTOM EXAMINATION 6 AGENCY CHARGES 7 SERVICE TAX @ 12.0% 8 EDUCATION CESS @ 2 %	rges (KE 160 (TOY 22)	nstu ud - 260 sud - 26 sud - 26	C6: X	10,874.	64.00 OTAL	500.00 500.00 4,000.00 1,000.00 720.00 14,00 7.00 6,741.00

4.3 From the above invoice it can be seen that, in the service provider's invoice that is issued by M/s Indev Logistics Pvt. Ltd. the name of appellant is appearing as shipper name and in the corresponding invoice of the 4 Star Enterprises which is the appellant's CHA is showing the exact amount of M/s Indev Logistics Pvt. Ltd. therefore the proper co-relation has been

established. Considering both the invoices it is established that the service provider M/s Indev Logistics Pvt. Ltd. has provided services to the appellant M/S Khushi Enterprise. In this fact the service tax paid in respect of the services received and used for export of goods is clearly refundable to the appellant.

4.4 This identical issue has been considered by this tribunal in the case of Chamundi Taxtiles limited wherein the tribunal has held that Cenvat credit cannot be denied to the assessee on the invoice even though raised on the agent of assessee who had discharged liability which would have otherwise being discharged by them. In the case of Chamundi Textiles Ltd. reported in 2011 (217) ELS 37 the tribunal held that even though a document is in the name of another entity but on account of assessee credit cannot be denied on such document. Considering this decision in the case of refund also even though the invoice was raised to the agent of the appellant the refund cannot be rejected as invoice raised to the agent is as good as invoice raised to the appellant being the principle.

4.5 In view of the above decision we are also of the view that even though the invoices issued in the name of the appellant's agent but the service was undisputedly received and used by the appellant for export of goods and the burden of service tax was born by the appellant the refund is eligible under notification No 41/2012 to the appellant.

4.6 As regard the denial of refund on pre-shipment inspection holding that the same is not input service, we find that all the services which are used for export of goods are input services for the purpose of refund under notification No. 41/2012-ST and there is no dispute that the pre-shipment inspection is indeed used in respect of the appellant's export goods. This view is supported by the judgment cited by the appellant therefore on this count also refund cannot be denied. As per our above discussion and findings we are of the considered view that the appellant are entitled for the refund under notification No. 41/2012-ST.

5. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside. Appeal is allowed, with consequential relief.

(Pronounced in the open court on 28.06.2023)

(RAMESH NAIR) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

(C L MAHAR) MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

Raksha