



BEFORE THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY FOR ADVANCE RULING

For the State of Andhra Pradesh (Goods and Service Tax)
Office of Commissioner of State Tax Govt. of A.P., P.O. No. 5-56, Block-B,
Kondapalli Valley Assessment, Bunder Road, Gunturgudem, Vijayawada,
Andhra Pradesh - 521 044.

Present:

Sri B. HARERAM, (Member) (Central Tax)

Sri. J. SYAMALA RAO, (Member) (State Tax)

The 24th day of April 2019

Order /AAAR/AP/30/GST/2019

In
Application No. AAR/30/GST/2019

1	Applicant	M/s. Vijai Electricals Limited, GSTIN No. 9VAACV7259E123 Zone 4 70-1513, Lawsons Bay Colony, Visakhapatnam, AP 530017
2	Person before the Applicant	Sri. R. Venkateswara L.
3	Date of hearing	12 th April, 2019

...

ORDER

M/s. Vijai Electricals Limited, GSTIN No. 9VAACV7259E123 Zone 4 70-1513, Lawsons Bay Colony, Visakhapatnam, AP 530017, (hereinafter referred to as appellants.) The appellant is engaged in electrification work to the APGENCO for procurement of plan, design, supply, instal and commission certain facilities viz., providing under ground cable work in Visakhapatnam city, package-1 and package-3 (replacement of existing 32/11 KV substation of Zone 1 division, Visakhapatnam with underground power cable net work on turnkey basis,

The appellant had filed an application before the Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling in AAR-02 dated 19.02.2018, containing the Ruling passed by the AAR, A.R. vide Ruling AAR NO. 29 /AP/GST/2016, Dated: 19.12.2018.

Brief History of the Case:

The appellant in his plea to the AAR, A.P has raised following questions.

1. Whether the tax rate of 12 % [CGST = 6 % + SGST=6 %] is applicable to contract, in pursuance of Notification No. 20/2017 (Central Tax) (Rate) dated, 22-08-2017 and No. 24/2017- Central Tax (Rate)dated, 21-10-2017

Q. Whether the work contract services provided to Eastern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited falls under the category of services provided to government or a government authority by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation, or alteration of, or in respect of works or specified works services as notified in the notification no.20/2017 Central Tax (Rate) dated 22nd August 2017.

The Authority for Advance Ruling, A.P., had ruled that even though APEDCL is covered under Government entity, the appellant is not entitled for the benefit of concessional rate of CGST @ 1.7% (6% under Central tax and 6% State tax), in terms of Notification No. 24/2017 / Central Tax (Rate) dated 21.10.2017 / read with notification no 31/2017 / Central Tax (Rate) dated 13.10.2017, as the works undertaken by APEDCL are for business purpose. Moreover, the transaction of the appellant comes under the works contract and falls under entry no. (ii) of S. No. 3 of the table of notification no.11/2017 / Central Tax (Rate), Dated- 26th June 2017 as emerged from time to time and corresponding no. Revisions under APGST Ad. 2017, and the applicable rate of tax is 18% (9% under Central tax and 9 % State tax.)

Aggrieved by the Ruling passed by the AAR, A.P. vide Ruling AAR NO.29 /APGST/2018, Dated:13.12.2018, the appellant preferred the present Appeal before the Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling, in ARA-32, with the following contention.

Whether the works contractor services rendered by M/s Vijai Electricals Ltd to APEDCL is eligible for concessional rate of CGST @ 1.7%, whether entry no. (v) (a) of notification No. 24/2017 (Central Tax Rate) dated 27/09/2017 is applicable i.e., 17%.

Grounds of appeal:

The appellant (M/s Vijai Electricals) preferred appeal in light of the developments taken place relating to the Ruling in AAR NO.29 /APGST/2018, Dated:13.12.2018, after the Order of Authority for Advance Ruling is issued to the service recipient (APEDCL). The service recipient has raised some concerns and submitted some additional information for consideration regarding the applicability of rate notified in the notification No.11/2017, Central Tax (Rate) @.20.0/2017. The appellant submitted that information as part of his appeal and required to look into the case in light of the following developments / facts relating to the case

1) After the receipt of the order of AAR AP, the appellant addressed a letter to Executive Director, APEFDC, Visakhapatnam with a request to release the payments duly considering the Order of Authority for Advance Ruling.

2) The Executive Director, APEFDC, Visakhapatnam in response stated that

They had received a clarification from the Project Management Unit (PMU), Revenue (TM) Department, Govt. of AP, dt. 20.10.2015 as below :

'As per notification No.11/2017.Central Tax (Rate) dt.28.6.2017 of Central Tax Rate and corresponding state tax notification vide GOMs No.259, dt.29.6.2017 / Revenue (CT.) Dept., as amended from time to time, the rate of GST applicable to the works executed for the Central Government, State Government, Union Territory, a Local Authority or a Government entity by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation or alteration of a civil structure or any other work meant predominantly for use other than for commerce, industry or any other business or profession, is 12%.'

3) The Spl. Chief Secretary, Land & DM issued orders stating that their activity is public utility works, not commercial and the same may be informed as the mannerised.

4) In support of the above clarification, the AP-PDCL addressed a letter to the appellant with the clarification provided by the Commissioner for DM & HO Secretary to Government (HAC); Project Director, KCRMP / AP PDR as follows:

'As per Entry at Sl.No.3(vi) of the table in notification No.11/2017 of Central Tax (Rate) dt.28.6.2017 and the corresponding state tax notification vide GOMs No.259, dt.29.6.2017 / Revenue (CT.)Dept., as amended from time to time, the rate of GST applicable to the works executed for the Central Government, State Government, Union Territory, a Local Authority or a Government entity by way of construction, erection, commissioning, installation, completion, fitting out, repair, maintenance, renovation or alteration of a civil structure or any other work meant predominantly for use other than for commerce, industry or any other business or profession, is 12%. If the civil structure is meant for commercial or industrial or business purpose, the applicable GST rate is 18% as per Entry 4, S.No.2 (xii) of the table under the afore mentioned Central and State Notifications.'

(5) Further, the appellant submitted the version of APEFDCL that they are simply acting as project implementation unit (PIU) for implementing Resilient Electric Net work or Underground cabling component under the APDRP (Andhra

Pradesh Disaster Recovery Project) with funding assistance of the World Bank. Besides, they further claim that APEPDCL works as PII, on behalf of AP Govt. and the project assets created under this activity belongs to AP Govt alone and these works are public utility services, not in commercial nature, thereby it attracts concession rate of GST i.e. 12%.

The appellant pleads that whether the tax rate of 12% (CGST 6%, SGST 6%) is applicable to the contract, in pursuance of Notification No.20/2017 – Central Tax (Rate) dated 22nd August, 2017 and notification No.24/2017 Central Tax (Rate) dated 21.09.2017.

PERSONAL HEARING

The Personal Hearing was held on 12th April 2019, for which the authorized representative Sri. Venkateswarulu Bharathulu attended and reiterated the written submission. The appellant made the following points at the time of Personal Hearing,

1. The works contract served availed by APEPDCL from Vjal Electricals Limited is meant for Government of Andhra Pradesh alone and squarely falls under the services to state government only.
2. The Project Monitoring Unit (PMU) of APDRD had identified the concerned department (APEPDCL) for implementing the project investments. APEPDCL is responsible for actual execution of project works and further to maintain infrastructure created. In support of the claim, the explanation advised that notification no.17/2018 (Central Tax (Rate) dated 26th July 2018 is invoked and it is claimed that the transactions undertaken by APEPDCL on behalf of Andhra Pradesh Government under APDRD are non-commercial in nature and does not relate to business."

Discussion & Findings:

We have gone through the written submission made by the appellant along with the Ruling pronounced by the Authority to Advance Ruling. On basis of the appeal and submission made by the appellant at the time of Personal Hearing, it is observed that the main issue of contention is whether APEPDCL would fit into the definition of 'business' as per Notification no. 17/2018 dated 26.07.2016.

The explanation provided in Notification no. 17/2018 dated 26.07.2018, reads as,

"Explanation. – For the purposes of this item, the term 'business' shall not include any activity or transaction undertaken by the Central Government, a State Government or any local authority in which they are engaged as public authorities."

When looked into the above explanation, the term "business" as defined above will not be applicable to the said transaction, as the transaction is not undertaken by the State Government in which it is engaged as public authority, but it is the activity undertaken by the APEPDCL, which is a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 and having its registered office at Sai Shiksh, Opp. Saraswati Park, Baba Garden, Vishakhapatnam - 520020, for carrying on the business of Distribution and Retail Supply of electrical energy within the Area of Supply (as defined in this license) and

with the powers and upon the terms and conditions specified therein. Moreover, APEPDCL is a Government Entity and it does not fall under the explanation provided for 'Business' vide notification 17/2015 dated 26-07-2018, which includes transaction under taken by the Central Government, State Government or any local Authority only.

As far as the plea made by the appellant is concerned, as per serial no. 3 of entry no. v (a) of notification no. 24/2017 Central Tax (Rate) dated 21-09-2017, the services availed by the appellant towards APEPDCL does not qualify "the category of services provided to Government Authority meant predominantly for use other than for commercial", as the services supplied by the appellant are purely commercial in nature even though the service recipient, i.e., APEPDCL is a Government entity.

With reference to the letters submitted by the appellant quoting various State Government Authorities such as, the Executive Director, APEPDCL, The S.I. Civil Secretary, Land & I.DM, and Commissioner for CAG as I.D Secretary to Government (FAC); Project Director, NCRPF / APDRP stating that the activities of APEPDCL are non-commercial in nature exceeding 12 % GST, we consider that these opinions had no legal validity as per the Act, in view of the classification of the services. Hence we do not find any merit in the above contention and rejecting the same due to the lack of legal or statutory support to the argument.

In view of the above discussion we find no valid ground to interfere with the Ruling given by the AAR, A.P

ORDER

We uphold the decision of the Authority in : Advt. No. 51, Irq. A.7 confirming that the work contracts service rendered by M/s Vijo' Electricals (the applicant) to APEDCL is not eligible for concessional rate of tax 12% as per serial no. 3 of entry no.vi (a) of notification no. 24/2017 Central Tax (Rate) dated 21.09.2017.

S/o/ J.Syamala Rao
Chief Commissioner (State Taxes)
Member

S/o/ B.Lakshmi
Chief Commissioner (Central Taxes)
Member



(Signature)

Chief Commissioner (ST)

Assistant Commissioner (State Tax;
Mr. Chid Congresswar or State Tax,
Andhra Pradesh, Vijayawada

To:

1. M/s VIJAYI PCTR VAIS LTD, DNN 4/10/312, Lowerong Ray Colony,
Visakhapatnam, A.P. (By: Rengal Venk)

Copy to:

1. The Assistant Commissioner (ST), China Welfare Circle, Visakhapatnam Division. (By: Rengal Venk.)
2. The Assistant Commissioner (CT) 10/22, Gopalpur Circle, Berhild Varan
Bajaj Showroom, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, CPDHSIT- FSCC MATH
CATE, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh 530003 (By: Rengal Venk.)
3. The Authority in : Advt. No. 51, Irq. A.7/o/ the Chief Commissioner
(ST), Secupugallu, Vijayawada